

**MINUTES OF MEETING
NARCOSSEE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT**

The regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the Narcoossee Community Development District was held Tuesday, May 28, 2019 at 3:00 p.m. at the Orange County Public Library – Southeast Branch, 5575 South Semoran Boulevard, Orlando, Florida.

Present and constituting a quorum were:

Jeffrey Smyk	Chairman
Steve Giercyk	Vice Chairman
James Gregoire	Assistant Secretary
Peter Wong	Assistant Secretary
Betsy Burgos	Assistant Secretary

Also present were:

Jason Showe	District Manager
Roy Van Wyk	District Attorney
Rey Malave	District Engineer
Alan Scheerer	Field Manager

FIRST ORDER OF BUSINESS

Roll Call

Mr. Showe called the meeting to order and called the roll.

SECOND ORDER OF BUSINESS

Public Comment Period

There being none, the next item followed.

THIRD ORDER OF BUSINESS

**Approval of the Minutes of the March 25,
2019 Meeting**

On MOTION by Mr. Smyk seconded by Ms. Burgos with all in favor the minutes of the March 25, 2019 meeting were approved, as presented.

FOURTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

**Consideration of Resolution 2019-02
Approving the Proposed Fiscal Year 2020
Budget and Setting a Public Hearing**

Mr. Showe stated the next item is consideration of Resolution 2019-02 approving the proposed Fiscal Year 2020 budget and setting a public hearing. The proposed budget is attached to the resolution as Exhibit A. Once you approve the resolution we will transmit it to the City of Orlando and Orange County and we will also post it on the website. All the contracts for purposes of this budget have a projected increase of 3%.

Mr. Smyk asked does that include GMS?

Mr. Showe responded no, GMS will remain the same, the 3% increase is for landscaping and lake maintenance. At the request of the Board we made that change to Parcels G&H and they will now pay a portion of the La Vina maintenance for the services provided on Dowden Road, which includes the landscaping and ponds. We also did a transfer in 2019 crediting La Vina for that portion, which would have been 2019 as we committed to the Board that we would fix it on the backend because that decision was made after the budget was adopted. In order to keep La Vina's assessments low there is now an \$18,000 miscellaneous common area allocation. That can be altered at the Board's discretion. You can lower the La Vina assessments, although we recommend not lowering them too much because if you have go back and increase them you do have to notice.

Mr. Smyk stated it will all just roll over.

Mr. Showe stated correct, whatever is collected will go into their capital projects fund. If you want to leave it the same for now and see where you are next year we can look at it again.

You can see the assessments on page 10 for Parcels G&H, it increased by 5 cents per 1,000 square feet, increased the apartments by \$27 and increased Parcel H by 5 cents per 1,000 square feet as well. Those parcels will require a mailed notice if we proceed that way and it is about five letters.

We added in wall cleaning to all the communities. We added it to Nona Preserve but we put it in their capital projects because it was \$2,500 and would have increased their assessments.

Mr. Van Wyk asked do you have ADA compliance for the website in there?

Mr. Showe stated their website has been updated and it is in compliance.

On MOTION by Ms. Burgos seconded by Mr. Giercyk with all in favor Resolution 2019-02 approving the proposed Fiscal Year 2020 budget and setting the public hearing for August 27, 2019 at 3:00 p.m. at the Orange County Public Library – Southeast Branch, 5575 South Semoran Boulevard, Orlando, Florida was approved.

FIFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Ratification of Work Authorization 2019-01 with Dewberry Engineers, Inc.

Mr. Showe stated next is ratification of a work authorization to prepare the annual Engineer's Report as required by the trust indenture. They look at the infrastructure and make sure we have enough in the budget to maintain it and see if there are any major infrastructure improvements that need to be made or repairs. We signed it and are asking the Board to ratify that. It is within their scope and the budget.

Mr. Wong stated I have a separate question but related to the Engineer area. We have been discussing the fence project for a while and I was wondering whether Dewberry keeps track of the project costs in terms of the consulting services. I think it has been over a year and I was wondering if they track it by project.

Mr. Showe stated they do track it separately outside of their general engineering work.

Mr. Wong stated I would like to know how much we have paid for that.

Mr. Smyk stated it is definitely outside the scope of their general engineering duty.

Mr. Showe stated I can get you that information.

Mr. Giercyk stated I think this whole project will be brought to a conclusion before the end of the year. I think we are very close at this point.

Mr. Wong asked is there an update on that project today? Rey isn't here, is he on the line?

Mr. Showe stated I texted him, I don't believe he is on the phone yet. We talked to Mr. Giercyk and at this point I thought we were holding the project until you got some information from the HOA.

Mr. Giercyk stated no, what I think I tried to imply or infer was that we should move ahead with what we planned. As far as the HOA was concerned we had looked at it, I took a step down as president of Ziani HOA and there was another gentleman and he said he would like to have it done but he is not willing to spend, the last number that came in was \$93,000 for our portion. The initial number when we started this was around \$50,000 and the comment was for

\$50,000 I want to do it but not for \$90,000. Talk to the Board and see if the Board is willing to help us out in any way with part of that and then we will talk about it at our next Board meeting. It is \$90,000 for the fence and it seems like a little more than we would be looking to pay. If it is something that as a CDD we want to accomplish on all retention areas and control the traffic through there or foot traffic or bike traffic we should still consider doing the whole thing and maybe think of it in terms of we do one this year one next year. Take the original plan that we had, put those corner pieces in for every retention area to stop the people from walking through and maybe at a later date come back in a year and say we will finish this one off this year, next year we will do this one. If you look at it that makes some kind of sense because I think retention Pond B is going to be changing size or shape, the one just east of Mirabella so you don't want to put a full fence along there. The one that is directly across the street, which is Pond C we would like to do something with that but we are hesitant because we want to try to work with whoever is doing the engineering for the road and get them to possibly put up some kind of a wall that wouldn't allow the lights to come through. As far as pond A is concerned that is one that we look at and say we can move ahead on that at any time if we can work out the finances and pond D back by Solvino is the same thing so we have two you can move ahead on and two we are saying not yet. Let's get Pond A and Pond D done so we can stop the foot traffic.

Mr. Showe stated if the Board is in agreement we can approve this item and cover more of the fencing under the Engineer's Report.

On MOTION by Ms. Burgos seconded by Mr. Giercyk with all in favor work authorization 2019-01 with Dewberry Engineers, Inc. was approved.
--

SIXTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Staff Reports

A. Attorney

Mr. Van Wyk stated you have been getting your capital conversions and we are waiting to see what gets signed by the governor, but I don't think there is going to be too much legislation that will impact you. One issue that is going to impact you is Amendment 12 that was passed last year for the Constitution, which gives the commission on ethics authority over public officials. They are in the process of creating rules to implement Amendment 12 to the

Constitution. We are working on that. Supposedly they had another workshop last week and they are supposed to come up with some final rules and as soon as we know how those rules impact you we will give you a heads up but basically, the intent of their rule is to eliminate any benefit you receive from your actions that is above and beyond what the general population receives. The penalties have to be set by the legislature next year. We don't know what the penalties might be; they might be nothing. We will have to see what comes out of the rulemaking and legislature next year.

Mr. Wong asked would that be covered by the insurance for the Board Members?

Mr. Van Wyk stated your errors and omissions insurance would cover you unless it is found to be outside the scope of your authority and then it bounces back to you. As long as you are acting at the Board meeting and doing it in the public, you are pretty much covered. If you are hiring your nephew to do lawn maintenance here at the District then that is a conflict of interest. We will bring back another memo that outlines everything.

B. Engineer

Mr. Malave stated continuing the earlier conversation, we have prices for each one. They are talking about pond one \$35,890, pond 2 is \$32,260, pond 3 is \$25,380, pond 4 is \$33,880. That includes two gates on each one. The total number is \$96,430. It is almost 500 linear feet of 6 foot tall aluminum fence.

Ms. Burgos asked will you be asking every development to contribute?

Mr. Showe stated La Vina is one pool of money and G&H we talked about because they are down that stretch of road we would allocate some funding from them and by ERU count would be about 26%. The other portion would come from La Vina capital project fund.

Mr. Wong asked do we have enough money in the budget?

Mr. Showe responded yes, we are projecting at the end of this year La Vina will have \$142,000 in reserves and G&H will have \$65,000. If you wanted to proceed with just those two we would have sufficient funds to be able to do that. You could do all four and have sufficient funds.

Ms. Burgos stated you would not be asking each separate HOA to add money to this because you have the money.

Mr. Showe stated correct, but this is just the corners.

Mr. Giercyk stated in talking with the president of the HOA of Ziani he wasn't willing to donate to build a fence the whole length of pond A but he would go to \$50,000 and if the CDD is willing to do one pond one year and the next over the next year that kind of thing we will help with the first one, we will put in \$50,000 toward completing the first pond.

Ms. Burgos stated that is what I asked. HOAs don't have to contribute to that.

Mr. Giercyk stated they do not have to. It would be because we wanted to get it done a lot quicker.

Mr. Gregoire asked isn't the HOA asking for this?

Mr. Giercyk responded yes, pretty much. This whole thing has changed from its original concept when it started. This started as, how can we make Dowden Road look a whole lot better and part of making Dowden Road look a whole lot better is putting some kind of shrubbery or trees and so forth down the center island and the question came in was what about the noise that is going to come up from the additional traffic as they start widening the road, what can we do to reduce that. That is where the fencing came in because people were sneaking into the communities and it is not the responsibility of the CDD but if we can put up something to help reduce that and at the same time kind of do a little bit of a beautification program that will be good. Going back to the beautification of the center island when we started talking about the fact that we are going to lose probably 10 feet on each side of the island for an additional lane it leaves us with only about 10 feet in the center so it might not be wise to try to make that very pretty and fill it with all kinds of shrubbery to have it ripped out in five years. We kind of felt that way and said what is left and we are looking at the other parts.

Mr. Wong asked was the \$50,000 that we allocated for the median beautification improvements?

Mr. Showe stated that was just a placeholder in the budget more than anything. At that point we didn't have actual numbers so it was just a placeholder to show that we were planning something.

Mr. Wong asked so that \$50,000 could be put towards the fence?

Mr. Showe stated essentially that would be the \$50,000.

Ms. Burgos asked right now to move forward we just to have present a motion?

Mr. Showe stated it is \$96,000 if you wanted to do all four. Are you saying you don't want to do all four right now?

Mr. Giercyk stated no, my personal opinion is the end pieces on all four should be done. That's what I think.

Mr. Wong stated then what is the \$96,000.

Ms. Burgos stated for the four ponds.

Mr. Showe stated it is the four ponds but it is only on the ends of each of the four ponds; it is not in-between.

Mr. Malave stated that was to try to keep people from going around the edges.

Mr. Smyk stated it is something like \$250,000 to do them all.

Mr. Scheerer stated people can walk around and get in any way they want. The only ones who benefit are the pond A communities if they have restricted access. Solvino is not a gated community, anybody can still go into that community.

Mr. Giercyk stated to that point that is one retention area that we can say let's hold off completely because it doesn't benefit the community in any way.

Mr. Van Wyk stated there has to be a benefit for you to spend the assessments. There has to be a benefit from security or beautification. There always has to be a benefit by your actions.

Mr. Giercyk stated I guess what I was trying to say is if people want to go fishing and they don't live in that community they are now going to have to go through a different method.

Ms. Burgos asked can you remind me the budget for the whole fence?

Mr. Malave stated I gave that a long time ago and don't have that with me.

Mr. Giercyk stated originally we were talking about the whole project being around \$150,000 for all the retention areas and that was three years ago. At that time Ziani was willing to contribute \$50,000 to get this thing moving along. Since then our price along that fence just that one section has doubled. You can guess that the price had doubled for the whole project.

Mr. Malave stated I think it is more than that based on this it may be closer to \$400,000+. Prices are not coming down.

Mr. Wong asked is it because it is a different vendor or just the passage of time?

Mr. Malave stated both. We took a masonry guy and got a price from them, then we got an aluminum fence guy to get a price and we were estimating based on both of those and that is where we came up with the numbers in the beginning. We finally found someone who would say I will do all of it and sub-contract the guys that will each do their specialty so that adds his cost to manage it. That is where some of the extra cost comes from and materials have gone up.

The original price was \$96,480 then we asked them to divide it into the four pieces so we could decide to do one and when you add those totals it comes out to almost \$130,000. I know we did not have gates in there in the beginning but gates don't cost \$30,000. He still quoted and signed the \$96,480, which was his original total and we need to verify if his \$96,480 is the total number for all of that based on his quote, which meshes with his previous quote and it does have the gates in it.

Mr. Smyk stated other than for Ziani the other neighborhoods, Solvino and Mirabella we don't have any representation from them in a while and Chris was two years ago. Have we heard as neighbors from them whether they would really want to go forward with the full fencing project so we would have continuity throughout the La Vina area by putting in these sections for security and beautification? Have you heard from those neighborhoods?

Mr. Giercyk stated since Chris left I have had very minimal communications. The last time I spoke with them was they are willing to go along with anything as long as they don't have to pay for it.

Mr. Gregoire stated you bring up a good point. How do we even know the communities represented are even interested in this work being done or if we were to authorize this it would come as a total surprise?

Mr. Giercyk stated Chris was pushing for this.

Mr. Gregoire stated he is gone now it is a new Board and look at all the other Boards. Do they even know this is being discussed because it impacts them? I would want to know if you were doing something to Nona Crest, I would want to be the first person to know.

Mr. Giercyk stated the only community we have to concern ourselves with would be Solvino because they haven't had any representation at all. We did have some representation from Chris when he was here, which was a while back and the Board wanted it at that time. Right now they would like to have the whole fence but they also understand the fact that part of their retention pond is going away. They are reluctant to do a whole lot that would be destroyed.

Ms. Burgos asked can we contact those HOAs?

Mr. Showe stated we can reach out and see what we can get from them.

Mr. Scheerer stated the HOA president at Capri said they are getting no fencing because their pond is at the back and I can reach out to Deanna, I'm not sure if the young lady from

Solvino who used to come here is still there. I have a number and will find out. Lisa is now the HOA person for Mirabella and we can reach out to Lisa and report back at the next meeting.

Mr. Smyk stated let's say we talked about progressing with three ponds but not the one that would be affected by the Econ Trail. There is money in the budget to do the entirety but would we want to say we will do part and there won't be continuity or we want to stick with continuity and do all but there is going to be a portion that will be destroyed.

Ms. Burgos asked why don't we do one development at a time and do the whole thing?

Mr. Smyk stated I'm talking about just the fencing from the pond outward, not an entire fencing. When we discussed the four ponds, there are two that are going to be disrupted and that is the point where for continuity's sake throughout the community we would do all four but there would be one definitely and one partially affected.

Mr. Giercyk stated let's talk about individual ponds and maybe that is an easier way to understand where we are going. If we talk about pond B, which is Mirabella the east end of pond B would be affected by the road construction; the west end would not. If we go across the street to pond C if you put something on the east end and something on the west end it would stay there and wouldn't be affected by the road coming through. What Ziani would be looking for is when the engineers get involved and they start developing things we would be looking to get them to put some kind of a brick wall, brick monument, something of some kind that as people came down the Econ Trail the lights didn't shine across the lake and go right into someone's house. That is a point that has already come up in our discussions. Let's go to pond A, which is the one on the west side of Ziani, that is the one we were trying to figure out can we put the whole fence in or just do the two ends and that is the one we have the numbers on. At this point I would say just do the two ends and they are not going to be affected by anything that happens with the Econ Trail at all.

Mr. Smyk stated let's go on the point of continuity so it is all the same. Are we in agreement that we should do them all so that we have continuity within the District or are we in agreement that we could do just what we are talking about, the pond to the wetlands or whatever, would we be in agreement that we could do one pond, two ponds, three ponds maybe not all four ponds. That is what I'm asking about. Do we want to set the standard and say we want to do all four because we want it to be uniform throughout La Vina, that part of the District? Or, do we

say not necessarily a deal breaker to have that continuity. That is the point I'm trying to get. I want to get everyone's thoughts on that; just on that point.

Mr. Wong stated what we have from the numbers is we have a significant discount by doing everything together, \$96,000 versus \$120,000+. What it means is if we did it piece by piece basically for the price of three we would have everything, you get all four done. Depending on whether the price still stands and if they stick to the price that means that if we decide to do three out of four we may as well do everything because it is the same cost basically.

Mr. Smyk stated I would say I do not have to have continuity completely throughout. That is how I see things. I'm asking each of you as Board members that question; do you want continuity for all or not. That is all I'm trying to sort out.

Mr. Gregoire stated I would say do it where it makes sense and I would also engage with the HOAs to make sure the HOAs are fully on Board and to understand what portion of this they are willing to contribute and own as we move forward with these projects and avoid areas where there will be construction because we don't want to spend money on anything that is going to be torn down during construction. We need to be smart about this and put a strategic plan in place over time to maybe complete this in the next two years based on the construction schedule and participation from the HOAs. I think this should be a partnership between the CDD and the HOAs to install these fences.

Mr. Giercyk stated that is not where the whole thing started. The HOAs were never asked about putting anything into any part of these fences.

Mr. Gregoire stated but the HOAs came forward with the request for these fences, did they not.

Mr. Giercyk stated Chris and I spoke about it, we spoke at the meeting here. I agreed that the fencing should go up to stop the foot traffic through the communities and to stop people from taking shortcuts with bikes and stuff like that. When you talk about Ziani you can talk about we said to help this project along we would contribute but there was no requirement, at no point in time was any other community saying they would put money into this. I don't think it is even on the table at this point. They could come forward and say that if they want to but the question from Mirabella as I remember it or the concept from Mirabella was they had twice the problem that Ziani had with people coming in and stuff of that nature and they wanted the fences up very quickly. There are a couple things you can do with that retention area at Mirabella. It can be

handled in two ways to stop that but if you want to touch base with the Boards I will tell you Ziani right now wants to move forward with it.

Mr. Gregoire stated they are willing to contribute up to \$50,000, is that what I heard you say?

Mr. Giercyk stated for the whole fence.

Mr. Smyk stated let's take things one step at a time and that is what we have the bid on and that is column and fencing from the pond outward to the wetland. I expressed that I don't think we have to do it on every pond for the community to have a beautification standard. That is my opinion. I was asking for everyone else's opinion only for this bid of this project, not for an entire fence. That is a completely separate issue. This will give us an idea of do we want to proceed with four ponds or a lesser number. I don't think it would take away from a beautification standard within the District to not have every pond with this section of fencing. I am in total agreement in the sense that there is going to be construction, there is going to be a pond that will definitely be affected and there is no sense in spending money and then a year or two down the road us having to come back and figure things out. I am in total agreement with that. Generally, we do a lot of things where there is uniformity within the District. I'm saying we don't necessarily have to have that but that is my opinion only and I want to get your opinion as well because maybe some have a very strong opinion that uniformity is extremely important to them and it should be all four.

Mr. Malave stated the reason we are going with this type of design is we were trying to match what was along Dowden.

Mr. Giercyk stated I think whether we have uniformity or not can be easily answered by talking to the Board in each community and it shouldn't be a matter of money. If you want the fence you put up we would do that if you don't want to put up we will not. If you want to hold off until after your pond is modified and put up the east end of Mirabella's pond at that point, we can probably get the east end of Mirabella thrown in with the road project as it is going on. That is something we should consider. The west end of the Mirabella pond they probably would be for it, it will slow down the foot traffic.

Mr. Smyk stated we have kind of decided this portion of the fencing that we were wanting to go forward we needed numbers and we needed to make sure there was money to cover it. We did get all those questions answered and now with what you are saying it is making

me think that we were making this decision yet we didn't really have any recent or current input from these HOAs. Now do we have to go back or are we going to move forward with Plan A and Plan B or C and D and D and A. I thought that is where we were at, but I just wanted to make sure all or a portion. That is what I was trying to get at with my question.

Ms. Burgos stated I think we can do sections. We do not have representation of the other two, we just have representation of Ziani here and I think it is clear that Ziani wants to move forward. If we need to move forward with Ziani I think just go ahead and move forward. I do not think it has to be completely consistent so I agree with you. Also if Mirabella is going to have construction by the Econ we should not pay for something that is going to be destroyed later. Let's spend the money wisely. Depending on what you decide contacting Solvino to move forward or start with Ziani then the other two.

Mr. Wong stated I think you have consensus for the most part. I think we can do it piecemeal, we don't need to go for all four at the same time for consistency. The way I look at it is if we can do less than four pieces I would say that I would support doing either one piece or two pieces at a time because if you are going to go beyond two pieces you should go for the whole shebang. If it is going to be one and two, which one and two would make sense. I would say the priority would be pond A on Ziani because the HOA is in support of that. If the second piece for consistency is to keep it to the one side and that would be pond C.

Mr. Showe stated you would actually do the west side of pond 2 and pond 3.

Mr. Wong stated if we have more input from Mirabella to support that, that would make sense.

Mr. Smyk stated I think the structure we are talking about would serve its purpose for security and beautification on that pond because we honestly don't have an idea what we are going to be up against and what we could hope to gain from a construction company or the road people. My thought is this pond 1 and pond 2 but nothing on the north side of the road. There is money in the budget to do it, there is money that could be allocated from G&H. I'm in agreement that those should go, the one pond, which I understand maybe we come back to after we talk to Mirabella and their HOA but pond 1 and pond 2 on this diagram and the sections on the south side of Dowden Road that would be Ziani and going towards Solvino going forward with those and they will not be impacted by construction. Let's move forward with that. At this meeting should we go forward with a motion?

Mr. Showe stated you can.

Mr. Smyk stated from what I'm looking at the budget there is money but it will take a sizable chunk of La Vina's reserve but there is enough to cover it. We can go forward with that. We can reach out to Mirabella and ask to talk to their HOA, find out and say we are looking and there should still be reserves to do that. Let's get input on that but on the south side of Dowden I think we are all in agreement, everyone is ready to go with it, everyone wants to do it. The money is there.

Mr. Malave stated we would not do the north side of the road.

Mr. Showe stated your diagram is pond 1 and pond 2.

Mr. Giercyk stated from this map it looks like Mirabella is going to lose a third of their pond.

Mr. Malave stated they don't really know, they are going to lose 120 to 150 feet just for the roadway. Plus they are going have to come up with a pond and they are going to have to do some mitigation for the wetland they are going to impact. It has not been designed, it is seven to ten years away.

Mr. Smyk stated it is going to be difficult for us to have an idea of how it is going to affect other than we do know it will. That's why I'm saying the north side pond let's leave that one alone. We are in agreement if we don't need to have uniformity and do all four. Why don't we leave that north side and let's go forward with the south side and make sure however we do it that we get the correct ponds stated.

Mr. Gregoire stated come back and reassess the other two ponds.

Mr. Smyk stated ask for input and there should be some carry forward surplus money after next year as well going into the capital reserve fund. For next year if they say they would love to have that done then we go forward with that.

Mr. Malave stated what you are saying is doing the fences as shown in the construction drawings for ponds 1 and 2 that are adjacent to Ziani on the south side of Dowden Road.

Mr. Showe stated the only option the Board might consider that Rey just pointed out is do we want to possibly do that west side of pond 3 at the same time because it would stop the foot traffic there and make it consistent. One thing Alan and I talked about is it is hard to think about pond 4 because that community doesn't have a gate.

Mr. Scheerer stated with the construction coming in for that road in seven to ten years, the pond has the outfall structure that is typically underwater. Most people aren't going to wade to get to the other berm and it is recessed there. You could realistically attach to the existing wall on the west side if the Board wanted to do that.

Mr. Smyk stated the only thing about doing the west side of pond 3 is we haven't had any input from Mirabella's HOA not that they would say they don't want it but maybe they may want to use the reserve for something else. It is going to take a while. If we were to go ahead with what we said for pond 1 and pond 2 but at our November meeting we have input it is still going to be basically the same pricing structure.

Mr. Showe stated there would be additional mobilization. There is a scheduled meeting in June and if it makes sense we can put all these numbers together, reach out to them. I have a feeling if you ask them if they are okay with the CDD putting up a fence they are not going not going to say no if we are paying for it.

Mr. Gregoire stated we owe it to them, they are our partners in the community.

Mr. Smyk stated nothing is going to happen this quickly so if we say let's go ahead with the project and then at our June meeting we say we definitely want the west side of pond 3 per this drawing that shouldn't change anything.

Mr. Gregoire stated move forward with the package that specifies exactly what needs to be done, what ponds, and bring that to the Board and we will approve each one of them.

Mr. Smyk stated come back in June.

Mr. Showe stated we will talk to Mirabella, we will have a full contract ready.

Mr. Smyk stated in June let's pull the trigger on something.

Mr. Wong stated we will have firm numbers in June because piecemeal it adds up to more than \$96,000 and how long that is good for so we need to hear from Mirabella by the next meeting.

Mr. Malave stated according to what we understand, this total didn't change. All he was doing was pricing it individually and there would be mobilization in each one.

Mr. Showe stated we have the Board's input today, we will have a full contract ready to go at the next meeting and if the Board approves it we will sign it and move forward.

Mr. Van Wyk stated the last HOA, the one furthest to the east, are you going to ask them if they want fencing?

Mr. Showe stated that is the direction I'm getting from the Board is we are not at this stage.

C. Manager

i. Approval of Check Register

On MOTION by Mr. Giercyk seconded by Mr. Wong with all in favor the check register was approved.
--

ii. Balance Sheet and Income Statement

A copy of the financials was included in the agenda package.

iii. Presentation of Number of Registered Voters – 1,872

A copy of the letter from the supervisor of elections indicating there at 1,872 registered voters residing within the District was included in the agenda package.

SEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Other Business

There being none, the next item followed.

EIGHTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Supervisors Requests

Mr. Wong stated I want to bring up the replanting at Nona Preserve. I guess you are monitoring it.

Mr. Scheerer stated we have one that has failed and has to be replaced. Other than that the rest of them seem to be doing well.

Mr. Wong stated because of that one owner maybe we should send a regular reminder to the residents and HOA at Nona Preserve to let them know that they need to leave the protected areas alone. Just to an annual letter to them.

Mr. Showe stated sure we will check to see when we sent the last one and put a note on the calendar to do that every year.

NINTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Audience Comments

Mr. Showe stated the next meeting is June 25, 2019.

On MOTION by Mr. Smyk seconded by Ms. Burgos with all in favor the meeting adjourned at 4:10 p.m.



Secretary/Assistant Secretary



Chairman/Vice Chairman